

# Dietary exposure assessment to chemical migrants from food contact coatings of metal cans in the Spanish adult population

P. Vázquez Loureiro<sup>1</sup>, A. Lestido-Cardama<sup>1</sup>, R. Sendón<sup>1</sup>, J. Bustos<sup>2</sup>, P. Paseiro Losada<sup>1</sup>, A. Rodríguez Bernaldo de Quirós<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. Analytical Chemistry, Nutrition and Food Science, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Santiago de Compostela, 15782, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

<sup>2</sup>National Food Center, Spanish Agency of Food Safety and Nutrition, E-28220 Majadahonda, Spain.  
Corresponding author: ana.rodriguez.bernaldo@usc.es

## Introduction

The food contact surface of metal cans is usually covered by polymeric coatings acting as barrier between food and metal surface. Reaction products, residual monomers, etc. may remain in the final product and have the potential to migrate into the foods (1,2). Migrating substances may affect the safety of the packed food, consequently packaging materials are subjected to risk evaluations. Realistic approaches to estimate the exposure, as a key step in the risk assessment process, are required.

**Aim(s):** This study aimed to estimate, from the results found in several foods packaged in coated cans, the dietary exposure to chemicals migrated, combining the concentration of the migrant in the food with the food consumption data obtained from the Spanish dietary survey ENALIA 2 (3).

## Materials & methods

**Table 1.-** Food and coating samples description

Food sample	pH	Metal can coating	Food sample	pH	Metal can coating
Tuna (olive oil) (BN)	6,4	Epoxy	Lentils with vegetables (LEV)	5,2	Polyester
Squid (sunflower oil) (CH)	6,5	Epoxy	Lentils (LE)	5,6	Polyester
Sardine (olive oil) (SCO)	6,4	Epoxy	Green peas (GUIB)	6,1	Polyester
Mussels (pickle) (ME)	4,4	Epoxy	Sardines (SCA)	6,4	Polyester

### Food analysis

#### Epoxy resins: Bisphenols and BADGEs



#### Polyester resins: Polyester monomers



### Chromatography

**Epoxy**  
Column: Phenosphere ODS(2) (150 x 4.6 mm, 3µm)  
Mobile Phase: gradient of ACN and water

**Polyester**  
Column: Gemini C18 (150 x 3 mm, 5µm)  
Mobile Phase: gradient of ACN and water acidified with 0,1% formic acid

### Exposure assessment



## Results

### Epoxy resins: Bisphenols and BADGEs

**Table 2.-** Estimated dietary exposure to Bisphenols and BADGEs, migrated from can coatings (µg/kg bw per day).

Migrants (Dietary exposure µg/kg <sub>bw</sub> per day)	Samples			
	BN	CH	SCO	ME
BADGE.2H <sub>2</sub> O	0.333	0.151	0.492	0.0395
BPA	0.0256	0.0547	0.0378	0.00219
BADGE.H <sub>2</sub> O.HCl	0.0205	0.00684	0.0567	0.00219
CyclodiBADGE	0.210	0.410	0.416	0.0482

BPA: Bisphenol A; BADGE: Bisphenol A diglycidyl ether

- BPA, BADGE.H<sub>2</sub>O, BADGE.H<sub>2</sub>O.HCl and CyclodiBADGE were identified in the food samples analyzed.
- Low exposure to BPA (0.00219-0.0547 µg/kg bw/day) was found, in all cases below the temporary tolerable daily intake (t-TDI) of 4 µg/kg bw per day.
- The highest values were found for CyclodiBADGE, dietary exposure varies from 0.0482 µg/kg bw/day to 0.41 µg/kg bw/day.
- The estimated exposure to BADGE and its hydrolysis products did not exceed in any case the TDI (0.15 mg/kg bw).

### Polyester resins: Polyester monomers

**Table 3.-** Estimated dietary exposure to polyester monomers, migrated from can coatings (µg/kg bw per day).

Migrants (Dietary exposure µg/kg <sub>bw</sub> per day)	Samples			
	LEV	LE	GUIB	SCA
AA	0.00362	0.00906	0.181	0.00189
TMA	0.0254	0.00362	0.00362	0.00189
TPA	0.0327	0.0851	0.0453	0.00189
IPA	0.00362	0.00362	0.00906	0.00189

AA: Adipic acid; TMA: Trimellitic acid; TPA: Terephthalic acid; ISA: Isophthalic acid

- Low exposure to carboxylic acids were observed in the studied population.
- AA and TPA were the ones with the highest values, 0.00189-0.181 µg/kg bw per day and 0.00189-0.0851 µg/kg bw per day, respectively.

## Conclusions

In general, our results suggested a low dietary exposure to the migrants evaluated in the analysed samples, however, combined exposure to several chemicals should be considered in future studies.

## Bibliography

- (1) LaKind, J. S. (2013). Can coatings for foods and beverages: Issues and options. *International Journal of Technology, Policy and Management*, 13(1), 80-95.
- (2) Lestido-Cardama, A., Sendón, R., Bustos, J., Nieto, M. T., Paseiro Losada, P., Rodríguez-Bernaldo de Quirós, A. (2022). Food and beverage can coatings: A review on chemical analysis, migration, and risk assessment. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 21, 3558-3611.
- (3) [https://www.aesan.gob.es/AECOSAN/web/seguridad\\_alimentaria/subdetalle/enalia\\_2.htm](https://www.aesan.gob.es/AECOSAN/web/seguridad_alimentaria/subdetalle/enalia_2.htm)

## Acknowledgements

The study was financially supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, by Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER), and by Agencia Estatal de Investigación Ref.No. PGC2018-094518-B-I00 "MIGRACOATING" (MICIU/FEDER, UE).